

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of: <b>Pierre Colin, et al.</b>	§	Group Art Unit: <b>2616</b>
	§	
Serial No.: <b>10/675,363</b>	§	
	§	Examiner: <b>Chu, Wutchung</b>
Filing Date: <b>September 30, 2003</b>	§	
	§	
Title: <b>Computer Data Transport System and Method</b>	§	Attorney Docket No. <b>11091</b>

**RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION MAILED JUNE 5, 2008**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING ELECTRONICALLY VIA EFS  
37 CFR 1.8**

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE A REASONABLE BASIS FOR BELIEF THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING SUBMITTED TO THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE VIA EFS (ELECTRONICALLY) ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW, AND IS ADDRESSED TO:

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT  
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
PO BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

/Howard L. Speight/ Reg. No. 37,733  
Howard L. Speight

**DATE OF SUBMISSION: SEPTEMBER 4, 2008**  
**ELECTRONIC FILING (EFS)**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed June 5, 2008, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejections set forth in the Office Action. The three-month shortened statutory time for response expires on September 5, 2008; therefore, this Response is timely filed.

The summary sheet of the June 5, 2008 Office Action mistakenly identified itself as a final office action. An August 26, 2008 Interview Summary summarizing an August 4, 2008 telephone interview acknowledged that the June 5, 2008 Office Action is a non-final action.